2019 Oct 19 Meetup

AESO 2019 LONG TERM OUTLOOK

How 'Clean' will Alberta's grid be in 2039?

Presenter: Ken Hogg M.Eng., P. Eng. Founder: Alberta Renewable Energy Alliance

OUTLINE

- Historical GHG emissions in Canada and Alberta
- AESOs (Alberta Electric System Operator) 2019 LTO (Long Term Outlook) to 2039
- AESOs Reference Case Assumptions regarding Generation Mix
- AREAs (Alberta Renewable Energy Alliance) Recommendations to increase deployment of renewable generation
- Impact of AESO versus AREA recommendations related to GHG emissions









Figure ES-7 Breakdown of Canada's Emissions by Economic Sector (2017)



1 2019NIR - Part1.pdf - Adobe Reader File Edit View Window Help P J 1 $\langle \phi \rangle$ 門 0 9 I * B 1 36 E P Fill & Sign \geq 4 (50 of 238) (____) 125% Tools Open ٣ Figure 2-7 Trends in Canadian GHG Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources (1990-2017) F 400 350 Other* **Commercial and Institutional** 300 Residential 250

D.

RR

∧ ENG

IJ

2019-10-16

Comment

X

×

A



Year

е

-

*Other includes Petroleum Refining, Construction, Mining and Agriculture and Forestry

Ū

2



e

Type here to search

ch 🛛 🖓

g^Q \land ENG

2:50 PM 2019-10-16



O Type here to search

 \Box 2019-10-16







2 201	9NIR - Part3	.pdf - Adob	e Reader													2000	đ	×
File E	Edit View	Window	Help															×
D	Open	J 🕄) 🖉 🍙	B 🔒 🖂	🕒 🔄 (39 of 81) 📄 🕂	73%	·	4	9	I					Tools	Fill & Sign	Com	nent
4-4	0.				Table A11-18 GHG Emission Summary for	Alberta, S	elected Ye	ears						i.				/
					 Greenhouse Gas Categories	1990	2005	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017					
					TOTAL	173 000	224 0.00	261000	271000	276.000	275 000	264 000	273 000					
					 ENERGY	151 000	231 000	227 000	238000	244 000	242 000	231 000	241 000					
					 a. Stationary Combustion Sources	95 200	128 000	148 000	156 000	161 000	163 000	158 000	\$67 000					
2020					 Public Electricity and Heat Production	39600	\$1,900	46 900	45 100	49 100	51 300	45 700	46 500					
					 Fetroleum Refining Industries	3 000	4 000	4 100	4 200	4 500	4 700	4900	5 400					
\mathcal{D}					 Oil and Gas Extraction Mining	29 200 248	49700 298	70 700 271	75 100 232	79 300	B2 000 127	83 500	90 000					
Ű,					 Manufacturing Industries	10500	8.960	10,900	11 800	11 400	10 200	9600	8770					
~					Construction	238	171	289	306	28	297	307	343					
					Commarcial and Institutional	5040	5660	6.200	6 210	6 340	5 770	6 300	6.640					
					Residential	6850	7 6 2 0	8 75B	8 780	9 160	8 260	7130	8.620					
					Agriculture and Forestry	477	240	337	338	346	346	358	379					
					b. Transport ⁶ Domestic Avlation	22300	34,000	40 200 T 440	42 800	44 000 1 510	41 800	40 008	40 500					
					Road Transportation	11900	1 250	25 700	1 590	28 300	26 400	25 800	26 000					
					 Light-Duty Gasoline Vahicies	4200	3680	3 150	3 320	3 370	3 040	3120	2 970					
					 Light-Duty Gasoline Trucks	3 400	5140	6.080	6.550	7 020	6 910	7 380	7 3 2 0					
					 Heavy-Duty Gasoline Vehicles	1720	3 200	1280	3 570	3 390	3 180	3 390	3 150					
					 Motorcyclas	13	28	38	41	44	44	47	47					
					 Light-Duty Diesel Vehicles	21	- 51	58	- 97	100	90	77.	78					
					 Light-Duty Diesel Trucks. Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles	2180	52	12,900	85 13 600	10/	122	119	137					
					 Program and Natural Gas Wehicles	395	97	2	13 614	0.97	0.96	17000	2					
					 Ralways	1760	2.780	x	2	2 910	2 530	1890	1690					
					 Domestic Navigation	0.28	Signer	8	х.		7	3	1					
					 OtherTransportation	7 460	15-400	10 000	11 000	11 300	11 300	11,000	11 400					
					 Off-Road Agriculture & Forestry	2 5 2 9	3.430	3.080	3.090	3 030	2 870	2 490	2 580					
					 Off-Road Commercial & Institutional	165	295 2610	309	340	392 4 750	363 4710	237 4010	196					
					 Off-Road Manufactuiting, Mining & Construction Off-Road Residential	1520	128	4 160	4 690	4750	110	128	131					
					 Off-Read Other Transportation	1940	751	520	543	611	606	609	610					
					 PipelineTransport	1350	3210	1820	2100	2 360	2.660	3500	3750					
					c. Fugitive Sources	34 000	37 000	38 000	39 000	39 000	37 000	34000	33 000					
					Coal Mining	400	300	300	300	200	300	300	200					
					Oil and Natural Gas	33 000 4 000	37 000 4 300	38.000	30 000	39 000 4 300	37 000 4 100	3 900	33000					
					Natural Gas	4 000 B 500	9700	8 200	4 400 8 500	4.300	7 900	7 900	8 000					
					Venting	17000	21 000	22.000	72 000	23 000	72 000	19000	19000					
					Haing	3 560	2010	2.900	3 440	3 180	2 860	2.220	2.440					
					d. CO ₂ Transport and Storage	-	-		• :		0.04	0.09	0,09					
					INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES AND PRODUCT USE	6580	11000	15 400	13 400	11 790	13 100	12 600	12 300					
					a. Mineral Products	1 100	1500	1 300	1200	1200	1200	1200	1300					
					Camart Production	790	1100	980	900	890 120	940 110	930 110	1 000					
					Mineral Products Use	110	250	150	140	140	160	160	120					
					b. Chemical Industry ²	1		1	100	2.0			1					
					Adipic Acid Production	1	-	+	*		1.14		2					
					c. Metal Production	-	- E		-	-	1	83.0	83.0					
					Iron and Steel Production	1.1	-	+		1.0	1	0.68	0.68					
					Aluminum Production			+ +	-	-		-	÷					
					SF ₆ Used in Magnesium Smollers and Casters d. Production and Consumption of Halocarbons, SF ₆ and NF ₂ ¹	0.27	710	1 300	1400	1500	1700	1 800	1900					
					A Stroughton and Consumption of Halocarbons, Sr ₂ and Nr ₂ * A Non-Energy Products from Puels and Solvent Use	5 500	5 800	1300	11000	8 900	10 000	9 500	9000					
					f. Other Product Manufacture and Use	17	38	43	44	48	52	61	72					
					AGRICULTURE	14000	19 000	18 000	18000	18 000	18 000	18000	18 000					
					a. Enteric Fermentation	7 800	12 000	9 400	9 500	9 400	9 400	9 500	9 400					
					b. Manure Management	1500	2.400	2 000	2 0 0 0	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000					
					c. Agricultural Soils	4 100	4 500	5 600	6.000	6-000	6 000	5 900	6.000					
					Diract Sources	3 4 3 0	3 500	4500	4 900	4 900	4 900	4800	5 0 00					

750

4

300

63

e

x

1 200

900

0.70 400

1700 40

86 30

1000

0.60

1700

40

120 40

P

700

1 000

1

800

40

120

9

1800 1500

1.000

1

800

1 800 1 600

40

120

9

40

1.000

1

900

1800

40

120

1000

0.80 700

1800

40

120 50

w

1000

0.80 000

1 900 1 700

×

40 120 40

Direct Sources

Ū

0

Indirect Sources

Example Compared and Compa

d. Field Burning of Agricultural Residues e. Liming, Uraa Application and Other Carbon-containing Ferbilizers

•

O Type here to search \blacksquare

7:34 AM 2019-10-19

RR

∧ ENG

V

 \Box



Pricing carbon pollution is central to Canada's plan. It is the most efficient way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and helps drive innovation and clean growth. Provinces and territories had the flexibility to implement either an explicit price-based system or cap-and-trade system. A federal carbon pollution pricing system will apply in any province or territory that requests it or that does not have a system in place that meets federal requirements. This federal system has two parts: a regulatory charge on fossil fuels, and a performance-based system for large industry, known as the output-based pricing system (OBPS). In most jurisdictions, the OBPS went into effect January 1, 2019, and the fuel charge took effect on April 1, 2019. Pricing systems in the territories will take effect July 1, 2019.

The complementary mitigation measures included in the PCF will enable Canada to achieve emissions reductions across all sectors. Expanding the use of clean electricity and low-carbon fuels are foundational actions that will reduce emissions across the economy. Canada is taking action to reduce energy use including by improving energy efficiency, encouraging fuel switching and developing "netzero energy ready" building codes. Canada's climate plan is supported by historic investments

е

۵

investments of \$2.3 billion in clean technology including nearly \$1.4 billion in financing dedicated to supporting clean technology firms and \$400 million to support the development and demonstration of clean technologies. In addition, the Government of Canada's Clean Growth Hub provides a single point of contact for access to clean technology knowledge, expertise, and relationships across the federal government. Canada's most recent greenhouse gas emissions projections (ECCC 2018a) estimated that Canada's GHG emissions in 2030 will be 223 million tonnes lower than projected prior to the PCF. This improvement in Canada's emissions outlook reflects the breadth and depth of Canada's climate plan. When the PCF is fully implemented, it will put Canada on a path towards meeting our 2030 target and to continue to achieve emission reductions beyond 2030.

Federal, provincial and territorial governments collectively report on how our climate commitments are translating into action; the second Annual Synthesis Report on the Status of PCF Implementation was released in December 2018 (ECCC 2018b) Continued collaboration between federal provincial, and territorial governments as well as partnerships with Indigenous Peoples and engagement with Canadians remain a cornerstone of PCF implementation.

a٩

∧ ENG

2019-10-19

O Type here to search 2019NIR - Part1.pdf - Adobe Reader

File Edit View Window Help

Đ _ ₽ 2 (16 of 238) P 333% Tools Fill & Sign Open Comment reduce emissions across the econom and climate resilience, and clean technology, Previous Next innovation, and jobs. It includes more than fifty concrete actions that cover all sectors of the Canadian economy, and puts Canada on a path towards meeting our **Paris** Agreement greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction target of 30% below 2005 levels by 2030.

Pricing carbon pollution is central to Canada's plan. It is the most efficient way to reduce greenhouse

∧ ENG

8.50 x 11.00 in

× 1 2019NIR - Part1.pdf - Adobe Reader D. File Edit View Window Help × C 12 円 0 . 206 (218 of 238) Đ 132% B I . Tools 4 B P Fill & Sign \sim Comment Open Ŧ Figure 8-1 Comparison of Emission Trends (2018 NIR vs 2019 NIR) F 800 750 731 723 722 722 716 721 716 714 700 GHG Emissions (Mt CO₂ eq) 704 650 602 614 600 603 550 500

Year

e

-

8:12 AM

2019-10-19

 \Box

RR

∧ ENG

Ū



-









Where would you focus GHG reductions efforts in Alberta?



Figure ES-8 Emissions by Province and Territory in 2005, 2010 and 2017



Source: Environment Canada and Climate Change (2019) National Inventory Report 1990–2017: Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada.

2017 Canadian GHG emissions – By Economic Sector (Total 716 MT CO2e) Waste,

74 Million Tonnes CO2e arise from electricity generation annually





Renewables = 6% Large Hydro = 62 % Nuclear = 16%

CANADIAN GENERATION FUEL MIX 2017 TOTAL GENERATION (579 TWH/YEAR)



2017 GHG Emissions from Public Electricity Generation



2017 Emission Intensity from Public Electricity Generation (Canada Average = 0.135 tne CO2e / MWh)



Alberta emits 63% of Canada's GHG emissions from electricity generation



Coal is the major contributor of Alberta Power generation **GHG** emissions

COAL emits 83%

of Power Generation **Emissions**

8,

Alberta 2017 GHG emissions from Public Power Generation Total 47 Million Tonnes CO2e



Coal, 39, 83%

AESO 2019 LTO

2018 energy source mix in the Alberta grid

TOTAL INSTALLED CAPACITY (16,193 MW)



Installed Capacity (MW) versus Generation (GWh per year)

Source: AESO 2019 LTO data file



🔁 AESO-2019-Long-term-Outlook.pdf - Adobe Reader

File Edit View Window Help



8.50 x 11.00 in <

Ū

D. X

ĸ٩

∧ ENG

2019-09-30

AESO Forecasts 19% Renewable Generation by 2030 Cumulative GHG Emissions 2019 to 2039 = 731 MT CO2e







2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039


Coal-to-Gas,

4,890

Combined Cycle, 2,227

Simple Cycle, 1,835





• What future new loads were forecast?

FIGURE 6: Composition of Load Growth 2018-2039 (Reference Case)



Tools

Fill & Sign

Previous

×

Comment

Next

3:12 PM

2019-10-03

LЛ

D 13 0 65 (70 of 85) **1** I 一門 139% P Open \sim *

The Low Growth Scenario uses the Low EV adoption case, while the High Growth and Diversification Find Scenarios use the High EV adoption case and the Reference Case Scenario uses the Reference EV adoption case. Figure 7 shows the results of each case included in the electric vehicles analysis.

1

FIGURE 7: EV Scenario Results



• When assessing costs and LCOE (Levelized Cost of Energy), what carbon prices were forecasted to 2039?

Answer Source: Page 50 of AESO 2019 LTO

LCOE estimates assumed an in-service date of Jan. 1, 2020 for all technologies and a 20-year economic life was modelled for all assets. The LCOE calculations assumed a carbon price of \$20/ tonne (t) in 2020, which was increased by 2 per cent annually thereafter. It was assumed that gas units would be benchmarked against a CO2 emission standard of 0.3663 t/MWh in 2020 and the emission standard would decrease by 0.0037 t/MWh each year. In this analysis, the LCOE for wind and solar did not consider any revenue from carbon offsets or carbon credits.

- AREA NOTE:
- 20 year life for all assets (includes Coal to Gas);
- All gas generation will be benchmarked against 0.3663 tne CO2/MWh and minimally reduced annually by 0.0037 tne CO2 thereafter;
- Carbon price \$20/tne in 2020 to be minimally increased annually by 2% thereafter (which completely contradicts Federal legislation);
- LCOE (Levelized Cost of Energy) for wind and solar did not consider any revenue from carbon offsets or carbon credits

• Why is energy storage not forecast to be part of the energy mix by 2039?

Answer Storage

Source: Page 60 of AESO 2019 LTO

Alberta currently does not have any transmission-connected energy storage projects; however, multiple projects have applied for connection and some have received funding to support their development. Energy storage technologies that have applied for connection within Alberta include lithium-ion batteries, compressed air energy storage and pumped hydro storage. Currently across the U.S. and other global jurisdictions, energy storage technologies are being considered and installed for many purposes. These include energy price arbitrage, ancillary services, transmission and distribution investment deferral, voltage and frequency support, back-up supply, enabling intermittent generation dispatch, and emissions reductions.

There are multiple factors that make the economics of energy storage challenging in Alberta, including transmission charges and limited opportunities for revenues within the operating reserve markets.⁸ While the current legislated framework does not prohibit the participation of energy storage in the energy and ancillary services markets, in practice the existing legislation, regulations and AESO Authoritative Documents do not fully contemplate the unique attributes and challenges associated with the participation of energy storage in Alberta's electricity system. The AESO Energy Storage Roadmap⁹ will approach energy storage as a unique asset type, facilitate integration, and will be impartial to energy storage technology.

• When assessing costs, what natural gas prices were forecasted to 2039?

Answer Source: Page 50 of AESO 2019 LTO

Other cost assumptions included a transmission loss factor of 2.75 per cent based on available forward power prices, a trading charge of \$0.47/MWh in 2020 and a commodity fuel charge³ of 1.66 per cent of gas prices. Trading charges, fixed O&M and variable O&M costs were assumed to increase 2 per cent annually.

 In AESOs Alternate Renewable Policy Scenario what was AESOs forecast for <u>Renewable</u> Capacity by 2039?

Answer Source: Page 37 of AESO 2019 LTO

6.1.3 Alternate Renewable Policy Scenario Generation Results

The Alternate Renewable Policy Scenario has a large amount of renewable generation compared to the Reference Case. Over 6,800 MW of wind and 1,000 MW of solar capacity are added to the fleet at the end of the forecast period. Wind generation capacity is 28 per cent of the generation mix in 2039. This results in more simple-cycle additions, along with less combined-cycle generation capacity.

FIGURE 9: Alternate Renewable Policy Scenario – Generation Capacity



 What impact has UCP government had in regard to incentives for Renewables?

 The 90 cents per watt rebate for residential solar photovoltaics has been cancelled

• See following slides regarding wind projects

Total of Three REP Rounds = 1,359 MW But REP Round 4 was cancelled by UCP



Alberta's Renewable Electricity Program attracts lowest renewable pricing in Canada

Round 1 of the Renewable Electricity Program successfully delivered nearly 600 MW of wind generation at bid prices that are competitive globally and record-setting in Canada. The four successful projects for Round 1 are:

Average Price \$37.35/MWh

Round 1

596 MW



Indigenous partnerships fuel the success of REP Round 2

REP Round 2 attracted significant interest from local and international developers eager to invest in Alberta. Successful developers partnered with 3 Indigenous communities to build 5 wind projects totalling 363 MW at a weighted average price of under \$39/MWh.

SAWRIDGE FIRST NATION CAPSTONE INFRASTRUCTURE CORPORATION SAWRIDGE FIRST NATION \bigcirc **Buffalo Atlee** PAUL Wind Farms 1/2/3 FIRST NATION 48 MW POTENTIA RENEWABLES INC. BLOOD-KAINAI FIRST NATION PAUL FIRST NATION Stirling Wind Project EDF RENEWABLES 113 MW CANADA INC. BLOOD-KAINAI FIRST NATION Cypress Wind Power Project 202 MW 54

Round 2 363 MW Average Price \$38.69/MWh

REP Round 3 keeps the competitive momentum going

REP Round 3 demonstrates continued interest in investing in renewables in Alberta. Strong competition resulted in 3 successful wind projects totalling 400 MW at a weighted average price of approximately \$40/MWh.



Round 3 400 MW Average Price \$40.14/MWh

If further Questions Contact: Ken Hogg kshogg@shaw.ca